C. Visvanathan¹, T. Norbu¹, C. Chiemchaisri² and B. Charnnok²

¹Environmental Engineering and Management Program, Asian Institute of Technology, Pathumthani, Thailand

²Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract

This paper explores the possibilities of using basic mechanical pre-treatment principals and Landfill mining approach to recover the discarded plastics from Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site in Thailand. The excavated wastes from the dumpsite were characterized for their physical composition and chemical characteristics according to their disposal age. The composition of solid waste excavated from the site showed high plastic wastes content (25 to 45%) of total weight. The waste samples were first manually sorted to remove noticeable inert fractions and metallic components from the plastic wastes. The sorted wastes are then passed through a rotary trommel screen to remove finer particles and soil components. After trommel screening the plastic contents in the treated waste increased up to 83 - 90%. The sorted plastics were then shredded and blended with cassava starch in a proportionate ratio to produce RDF mainly targeted for industrial fuel. These were then formed into briquettes using a screw compactor. The maximum plastic content for an ideal densification of the briquettes were determined. The quality concerns and the characteristics of the fuel produced were in compliance with standards and acceptable for industrial use. This paper also looks into the economic aspects of producing such fuels.

Keywords: Dumpsite, Municipal Solid Waste, Rotary Trommel Screen, Refuse Derived Fuel, Calorific value.

1 Introduction

Open dumping is still the most commonly used for Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) disposal method in Thailand. At the moment, there are about five large open dumpsites in operation. Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site is one of the largest dumpsite in Thailand receiving about 750 tons of municipal solid wastes in 2005 from various municipalities and sub-district administrative organization in Nonthaburi province (USAID-ASIA,

2004).. Rapid exhaustion of available space for waste disposal is creating a crisis in solid waste management. This calls for a new approach involving the practice of waste minimization and recycling to conserve the remaining space in currently used disposal site. Waste mining also offers opportunity to provide new space at currently used and closed dumpsite and recycle of valuable materials. It evolves the excavation, transfer and processing of buried wastes taken from an active or closed landfill or dumpsite. This will also help eliminating potential contaminant sources, cost reduction in post-closure monitoring and redevelopment of new sanitary landfill sites (HOGLAND ET AL., 2004; CES, 2004).

An initial investigation at Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site showed that high amount of combustible wastes mainly plastic is containing in dumped wastes. This finding indicates the possibility of recovering combustible materials for refuse derived fuel (RDF) production. RDF is a well-known alternative fuel produced from the combustibles in municipal solid wastes which are composed of waste plastic and other materials such as textiles, wood, soil, etc. compatibility exists for several reasons related to economic, environment, political and social aspects (CHANG ET AL., 1997).

To produce RDF as briquette, it is difficult to obtain strong briquette by screw compactor from plastic wastes without using binding agent. Well-known agents are molasses fibrous and oily organic wastes, sawdust, bitumen, pitch, sulphite liquor, starch, lime-stone, dolomite, etc. (YAMAN ET AL., 2001) Biomass usually has fibrous structure and contains oily sticky components which facilitate to form a more dense bulk should be increased to a degree at which transportation expenses becomes less and used facilitate ease of feeding for incineration (YAMAN ET AL., 2000).

In this study, solid waste open dumpsite in Nonthaburi province were determined for their physical/chemical characteristics, then plastic wastes were separated by workers and trommel screen to remove contaminated soil fraction, physical/chemical characteristics were determined. The separated plastics were shredded and mixed with cassava starch then formed into briquettes.

2 Municipal solid waste disposal site: Nonthaburi dumpsite

Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site is located at Moo 8, Klong Kwang sub-district, Sai Noi district, Nonthaburi province west of Bangkok, Thailand. The site is operated by Nonthaburi Provincial Organization Administration since 1982 stretched over an area of 68 rais (108,800 m²) and receives approximately 750 tons of waste per day (USAID-ASIA, 2004). Schematic plan of the solid waste disposal site is shown in Figure 1. Prior

to 2005, the incoming waste was continuously disposed in dumpsite area located in the middle of the site. In 1998, it was estimated that the total volume of the dumped wastes was approximately 1 million m³ at this particular site.



Figure 1 Schematic plan of Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site

3 Solid waste sampling

Solid waste dumped at Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site can be broadly classified into two major portions according to their disposal age, i.e. less than 7 years (sampling site located at the smaller portion of the dumpsite) and more than 7 years (sampling site located at the larger portion of the dumpsite). The samples were taken from both sites for the following experimental research. The waste samples were then collected and further characterized to determine its physical and chemical characteristics. For sampling purpose, a backhoe was used to excavate waste samples from five different sampling locations as shown in Figure 2. The wastes obtained from P-1 and P-3 sampling locations were 7 years old, P-2 and P-4 sampling locations had 3 waste layers of different ages (7 years old at the bottom, 5 years old in the middle and 2 years old at the top layer). The wastes at P-5 location were about 10 years old. Triplicate samples were taken from each sampling locations or layers according to their disposal ages.



Figure 2 Waste sampling locations

4 Solid waste composition and characteristics

Analysis of the excavated waste showed no significant difference in the composition of solid waste between the sampling sites. Waste samples from P-1 and P-3 (both 7 years old waste) were chosen for this study. Soiled plastics, inert materials, wood, clothes and metals were the main components. Approximately 25-45% of soiled plastic, 28-57 % of soil like inert materials, 2-10% of wood, 2 -12% of clothes, and 2-5% of metal was observed in the excavated waste (Table 1). Small amounts of glass, ceramic, rubber, paper and foam were also found in the excavated waste. Figure 3 describes the average composition of the excavated waste samples.



Figure 3 Average composition of excavated waste sample (% by weight)

MSW F	ractions	Composition (% by wet weight)					
		2 years old	5 years old	7 years old	10 years old		
Combustible	Plastic						
	- carry bags	20.63	11.92	23.38	17.37		
	- other bags	8.91	4.53	10.54	6.59		
	- other plastic	7.20	8.20	10.90	11.40		
	Total	36.75	24.64	44.83	35.34		
	Wood	7.66	3.42	9.77	1.20		
	Paper	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	Rubber	0.60	0.83	1.18	0.00		
	Foam	1.75	0.55	0.88	0.60		
	Clothes	11.51	7.45	10.21	1.80		
Noncombustible	Soil/ inert	32.90	56.59	27.86	49.10		
	Ceramic	1.19	0.83	0.73	2.99		
	Glass	1.79	4.03	1.21	4.79		
	Metal	1.79	1.66	3.34	4.19		

Table 1 Solid waste composition of excavated wastes

5 Waste separation process

A pilot plant waste separation process was set-up at Nonthaburi solid waste disposal site. The separation process has a capacity of about 800 kg/h. Figure 4 shows the flow diagram of waste separation process. Solid wastes at 7 years disposal age was used in experimentation of the waste separation process. The waste separation process consists of manual separation as pre-treatment to remove larger and visible inert fractions which may upset the mechanical separation equipment (such as glass, rubber or large stones). The pre-treated wastes were then fed into a rotary trommel screen to separate plastic and soil-like materials.



Figure 4Flow diagram of waste separation process

The schematic of rotary trommel screen is shown in Figure 5. The length of trommel is 3m with diameter of 1m and 12.7 degree gradient. A 5-HP motor is used to rotate it in counter clockwise direction at 8 rpm. Two screen sizes were used, 25 and 50 mm. Three waste fraction could be obtained from this mechanical separation steps, i.e. > 50 mm plastic wastes, 25-50 mm soil-like fraction and <25 mm soil-like fraction. After separation, the separated plastic waste was shredded into small pieces (about 10 mm) by a plastic shredding machine (APM-3 HP-Pulley, Mitsubishi motor).



Figure 5 Schematic diagram of the rotary trommel screen

The waste separation in a rotary trommel screen recovered about 40% of incoming wastes at the feeding rate of 540-1080 kg/h. Total plastic contents in separated wastes were 82.9-89.7% (figure 6).



Figure 6 Fractional distribution of waste samples after trommel separation

6 Particle Size Distribution

The excavated wastes samples were passed through the trommel and segregated into three different fractions according to their size. The distributions of waste fractions obtained from 13 samples are presented in figure 7. It could be observed that approximately 40% of waste fractions fall below 25 mm, about 25% lies between 25 mm to 50 mm and the rest is above 50 mm.



Figure 7 Size distribution of the sorted waste sample

The higher amount of 25 mm waste fractions is due to the presence of soil like inert materials and fully degraded organic matters which easily passes through the trommel opening. Those fractions between 25 and 50 mm which constitute about 25% of the sorted waste fractions are mostly pieces of ceramics, soiled plastics, cloth pieces, metal scraps and partially degraded matters. The remaining fractions (about 30-35%) are dominated by larger pieces (above 50 mm) of soiled plastics.

7 Chemical and Physical Characteristics of waste

The physical and chemical properties were examined from three different samples obtained from; raw excavated waste, manually separated and trommel separated are shown in tables 2 (a) and (b).

 Table 2
 Physical and chemical characteristics of the waste

(a) Raw excavated waste

Turne	Moisture (% by wet	Volatile solid (% by dry	
Туре	weight)	weight)	Ash (% by ary weight)
Thin plastic bags			
	22.07	79.16	20.84
(< 20 microns)			
Plastic shopping bags	1111	70.0	01.0
(> 20 microns)	14.11	10.2	21.0
Plastic items	4.51	92.33	7.67
Wood	49.81	84.1	15.9
Rubber	5.8	69.33	30.67
Foam	9.23	72.89	27.11
Soil inerts	23.74	19.33	80.67

(b) Trommel separated plastic waste sample

Parameters*		Type of plastic					
		Plastic carry bags	Other plastic bags	Other plastics			
Calorific value	MJ/kg (dw)	40.99 ± 1.19	39.33 ± 3.36	33.38 ± 3.35			
Chlorine	(% dw)	2.51 ± 0.70	1.23 ± 0.84	3.95 ± 2.75			
Sulfur	(% dw)	0.21 ± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.01			
VS	(% dw)	72.99 ± 10.56	83.48 ± 5.96	91.77 ± 6.44			
Ash	(% dw)	27.01 ± 10.56	16.54 ± 6.23	8.23 ± 7.84			
Moisture	(% w)	3.46 ± 2.24	1.49 ± 1.12	0.85 ± 0.38			
Soil inerts ** (%	% ww)	38.12 ± 4.19	32.79 ± 4.68	8.67 ± 2.26			

*Average value of 6 duplicate samples; ** The composition of soil like materials is 14.55 ± 4.16

and other materials is 5.86 ± 3.82

The plastic content in the dumpsite varied from 24.6-44.8%. Majority of plastic wastes was plastic carry bag. By analyzing these different waste samples, in most of the cases, the wood and soil components showed higher moisture content as compared to the

rest. The moisture content of the plastic waste decreased during the separation process. The analysis showed that the bulk density and moisture content of the excavated waste varied from 20-50 % by its wet weight. The study also showed a significant decrease in the bulk density of the final sorted waste after trommel separation due to the removal of soil and inert particles after passing through the rotary trommel screen.

Decrease in the waste density also highlights the effectiveness of trommel separation and how the process rids off the soil and other inert attached to the plastics. The average density of the waste sample after trommel separation lies in the range of 50-60 kg/m³. Since the final screened waste consist only plastics, it resulted in high calorific value and higher chlorine content.

8 Production of RDF Briquettes and its characteristics

The oversized plastic components obtained from the trommel screen are shredded and blended with different ratios of cassava starch. RDF briquettes production was carried out using a 5 HP screw compactor (Figure 8) after blending it with an appropriate ratio of cassava starch (Table 4). The cassava starch serves as a binding material. The final property of compacted RDF briquette depends on the composition of plastics and cassava starch. After the briquette production process, they were stored under ambient conditions for another 7 days prior to its final gasification study. The optimum ratio for the RDF briquette production was determined in terms of its final calorific value, sulfur and chlorine content.



Figure 8 Steps involved in the production of RDF briquettes

	Sample analy	Sample analysis (plastic : cassava starch)					
Parameters*							
	1:0.8 (A)	1:1.0 (B)	1:1.2 (C)	1:1.4 (D)	1:1.6 (E)	cassava starch	
Proximate Analysis							
moisture (%ww)	2.95±1.50	3.46±1.63	3.54±0.50	3.92±2.94	5.50±2.57	7.36±0.07	
VS (%dw)	84.38±0.43	82.21±0.30	85.19±0.20	86.00±0.29	88.80±0.48	88.44±0.48	
FS (%dw)	1.16±0.10	1.90±0.27	1.22±0.12	1.35±0.15	0.91±0.16	6.08±0.41	
Ash (%dw)	14.46±0.34	15.89±0.28	13.59±0.28	12.65±0.43	10.29±0.38	5.49±0.08	
CV (MJ/kg - dw)	25.97±0.48	23.84±0.96	21.86±0.86	22.84±0.68	23.16±0.96	16.72±1.18	
Ultimate Analysis							
C (%dw)	46.88±0.24	45.67±0.17	47.33±0.11	47.78±0.16	49.33±0.27	49.13±0.27	
H (%dw)	5.27±0.027	5.14±0.02	5.32±0.012	5.37±0.018	5.55±0.03	5.53±0.03	
O (%dw)	46.76±0.56	47.94±0.03	46.75±0.71	46.20±0.14	44.29±0.51	45.16±0.31	
N (%dw)	0.052±0.09	0.11±0.018	0.062±0.02	0.076±0.01	0.084±0.02	0.081±0.02	
S (%dw)	0.19±0.029	0.16±0.021	0.16±0.031	0.14±0.023	0.14±0.012	0.047±0.01	
CI (%dw)	0.85±0.41	0.99±0.14	0.97±0.62	0.44±0.31	0.61±0.40	0.048±0.01	

 Table 3
 Chemical characteristic of RDF briquettes

* Average values of triplicate samples

Although the average chlorine content in the produced RDF briquettes (does not meet the European Union for Responsible Incineration and Treatment (EURITS) limit of 0.5% it still fulfills the specification for co-incinerating as secondary fuels in cement plants in Sweden and Finland (where Chlorine content < 1%). RDF briquettes produced are also in compliance with the (EC-DGE, 2003) standards for RDF composition and properties produced from MSW.

Even though no Asian standards sets against using RDF briquettes produced from MSW, but with respect to European standards and guidelines, the optimum blending ratio achieved for this study was noticed in samples A and B (Figure 9).





Sample A attained a calorific value of 26 MJ/kg (dry weight) with sulfur and chlorine contents of 0.19% (dry weight) and 0.85% (dry weight), respectively.

9 Cost of RDF production and energy recovery

The cost of RDF production was estimated based on pilot-scale plant of 580 kg solid waste per day capacity (8 hours operation per day). The preparation of RDF involves 2 main preparation steps, i.e. plastic waste separation and RDF briquette production. RDF could be produced at 328 kg per day with production cost of 2,750 THB per day (1 USD approx. 35 THB) or 8.39 THB per kg of RDF based on optimum plastic: cassava starch ratio of 1:0.8. When considering RDF conversion to energy, the produced RDF could be converted to energy of 9,080 MJ per day or 2,523 kWh per day with total cost of 4,484 THB per day or 1.69 THB per kWh. Table 4 shows the comparison of energy production from RDF with other fuel.

Table 4: Cost of electricity production (2,523 kWh) from different types of fuel

Fuel type	Factor	Required fuel	Total cost	Energy cost
(unit)	(unit/kWh)	(unit)	(THB)	(THB/kWh)
RDF (kg)	0.13	328	4,484	1.7
Coal (kg)*	1.00	2,523	1,262	0.50
Natural gas (cf)*	8.14	20,537	5,234	2.07

1USD approx. equals 35 THB (March 2007)

*Source: Department of Mineral Fuels, Ministry of Energy (Thailand)

According to the typical electricity production cost of Thailand and the pilot scale analysis, the energy production using RDF briquettes showed higher cost compared to coal.

10 Conclusion

This study investigated that, principals of mechanical pre-treatment and landfill mining are the most conducive method to recover combustible fractions for RDF production. Backhoe was used to mine the waste samples prior to passing it through a trommel screen. The elimination of soil and inert materials from the waste fractions and dominance of plastic components in the final screened sample is evident to signify the effectiveness of this approach. It was found that the best way for the production of RDF briquettes is by blending the shredded plastic waste with cassava starch. The ideal densification of the RDF briquettes in compliance with emission standards were met at blending ratios of 1:0.8 and 1:1 (*Shredded Plastic: Cassava starch*). The RDF briquettes produced from this approach showed promising results (in terms of its calorific value) for its application as a secondary fuel for co-incineration in cement and brick kilns.

11 Literature

CES	2004	Landfill Rehabilitation and Landfill Mining, Center for Environmental Studies, Anna University Publication, India.
Chang N.B., Y. H. Chang and W.C. Chen	1997	Evaluation of heat value and its prediction for refuse- derived fuel, The Science of the Total Environment, 197, 139-148.
Department of Mineral Fu- els, Ministry of Energy	2007	http://www.dmf.go.th

International Symposium MBT 2007 www.wasteconsult.de

(Thailand)				
EC-DGE	2003	European Commission – Directorate General Environ- ment, Refuse Derived Fuel, Current Practice and Per- spectives (b4- 3040/2000/306517/mar/e3), Final Re- port,		
Hogland W., M. Marques and S. Nimmermark	2004	Landfill mining and waste characterization: A strategy for remediation of contaminated area, Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management, 6(1), 199-124.		
USAID-ASIA	2004	Solid Waste Benchmarking Study of 13 Thai Municipalities, A survey report		
		http://www.usaep.org/downloads/solid_waste_report.pdf		
Yaman S., M. Sahan, H. Haykiri-Acma, K. Sesen and S. Kucukbayrak	2000	Production of fuel briquettes from olive refuse and pa- per mill waste, Fuel Processing Technology, 68, 23-31.		
Yaman S., M. Sahan, H. Haykiri-Acma, K. Sesen and S. Kucukbayrak	2001	Fuel briquettes from biomass-lignite blends, Fuel Processing Technology, 72, 1-8.		

Author's address

Prof. C. Visvanathan and T. Norbu

Environmental Engineering and Management Program, SERD Asian Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 4, Khlong Luang, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand, Telephone +66-2-524-5640; Fax: 66-2-524-5625 Email: <u>visu@ait.ac.th</u> <u>norbu@ait.ac.th</u> Website: http://www.faculty.ait.ac.th/visu/

Dr. Chart Chiemchaisri and B. Charnnok

Department of Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Kasetsart University 50 Phaholyothin Road, Bangkok 10900, Thailand Phone: + 66 2 942 8555, Ext. 1010

Email: fengccc@ku.ac.th

2nd International Symposium MBT 2007 Mechanical biological treatment and automatic sorting of municipal solid waste

> Hanover, Germany 22nd – 24th of May 2007,

Applying Mechanical Pre-Treatment and Landfill Mining Approach in Recovering Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) from Dumpsite Waste: Thailand Case Study

C. Visvanathan

Environmental Engineering and Management, Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand visu@ait.ac.th

http://www.faculty.ait.ac.th/visu/

lisu

Sai Noi Dumpsite, Nonthaburi, Thailand







Location map (Sai Noi Dumpsite)



Located to the east of Chao Phraya River. The town is only 20 km from Bangkok and is accessible by road or river.

Nonthaburi Metropolitan Municipality (NMM) is in Nonthaburi Province,

Research Scope

Stage-I:Waste excavation/ SamplingCharacterizationScreening

Stage-II: RDF Briquette Production

Shredding Blending Compaction

Different forms of plastic waste found at waste disposal sites



Solid Waste Sampling



RDF from Dumpsite Waste (Thailand) – MBT-2007

Visu

Solid Waste Composition & Characteristics

Plastic content in the dumpsite varied from 24.6-44.8%.



RDF from Dumpsite Waste (Thailand) – MBT-2007

Visu

Solid Waste Composition & Characteristics

Excavated waste

Туре	Moisture (% by wet weight)	Volatile solid (% by dry weight)	Ash (% by dry weight)
Thin plastic bags (< 20 microns)	22	79	21
Plastic shopping bags (> 20 microns)	14	78	22
Other Plastic items	4.5	92	8
Wood	50	84	16
Rubber	6	69	31
Foam	9	73	27
Soil inerts	24	19	81

Wood and soil components showed higher moisture content as compared to others
Soiled Plastics resulted in higher moisture content

Rotary Trommel Screening



Visu

Rotary Trommel Screening



Effectiveness of Rotary Trommel Screening

Density (kg/m3) of solid waste (plastic components) of 7 years old at different steps of the separation



Increase in Plastic content and decrease in soil and other inert particles

RDF from Dumpsite Waste (Thailand) – MBT-2007

Rotary Trommel Screening



Particle Size Distribution





RDF Briquette Production

RDF briquettes



RDF Briquette Production



Five samples of different Blending Ratios

RDF Samples	А	В	С	D	E
Plastic/ Cassava starch	1:0.8	1:1.0	1:1.2	1:1.4	1:1.6

Chemical Characteristics of RDF Briquette

Five samples of different Blending Ratios

RDF Samples	А	В	С	D	E
Plastic/ Cassava starch	1:0.8	1:1.0	1:1.2	1:1.4	1:1.6

PARAMETERS / SAMPLES	А	в	С	D	E	F
moisture (%ww)	2.95±1.50	3.46±1.63	3.54±0.50	3.92±2.94	5.50±2.57	7.36±0.07
VS (%dw)	84.38±0.43	82.21±0.30	85.19±0.20	86.00±0.29	88.80±0.48	88.44±0.48
FS (%dw)	1.16±0.10	1.90±0.27	1.22±0.12	1.35±0.15	0.91±0.16	6.08±0.41
Ash (%dw)	14.46±0.34	15.89±0.28	13.59±0.28	12.65±0.43	10.29±0.38	5.49±0.08
CV (MJ/kg - dw)	25.97±0.48	23.84±0.96	21.86±0.86	22.84±0.68	23.16±0.96	16.72±1.18
S (%dw)	0.19±0.029	0.16±0.021	0.16±0.031	0.14±0.023	0.14±0.012	0.047±0.01
CI (%dw)	0.85±0.41	0.99±0.14	0.97±0.62	0.44±0.31	0.61±0.40	0.048±0.01

Samples (A) and (B) showed best results in terms of CV, CI and S content

Chemical Characteristics of RDF Briquette



Economic Analysis

Fuel type (unit)	Factor (unit/kWh)	Required fuel (unit)	Total cost (THB)	Energy cost (THB/kWh)
RDF (kg)	0.13	328	4,484	1.7
Coal (kg)*	1.00	2,523	1,262	0.50
Natural gas (cf)*	8.14	20,537	5,234	2.07

1USD approx. equals 35 THB (March 2007) *Source: Department of Mineral Fuels, Ministry of Energy (Thailand)

- Cost of energy production from RDF is higher than coal but cheaper than natural gas.
- But this is not taking into the account the environmental cost of coal fired boilers
- Up scaling of RDF plant will be difficult as we have to consider the transportation
- cost of waste and RDF
- Best way is to develop small scale plant and use it in near by the industry.

Conclusion

- This study investigated that, principals of mechanical pre-treatment and landfill mining are the most conducive method to recover combustible fractions for RDF production in developing Asian countries.
- Rotary trommel screen is effective in cleaning soiled plastics
- Most conducive way for the production of RDF briquettes from mined waste (in this case) is by blending the shredded plastic waste with cassava starch.
- Ideal densification of the RDF briquettes in compliance with emission standards were met at blending ratios of 1:0.8 and 1:1 (Shredded Plastic: Cassava starch).
- RDF briquettes produced from this approach showed promising results (in terms of its calorific value) for its application as a secondary fuel for co-incineration in cement kilns.

Thank You...

